

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,989	10/772,989 02/05/2004		Paul A. Iaizzo	P-8965.00	5392
27581	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.				BERTRAM, ERIC D	
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3766	
				DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/772,989 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 4/27/2006. These drawings are accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

2. The amendments to the specification, specifically the replacement Abstract, received 4/27/2006 are acknowledged and accepted.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-14, filed 4/27/2006, with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 10, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-28, and 30-35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of the above claims have been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, filed 4/27/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 12 and 29 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Klumb et al. (US 5,935,124) and King (US 4,282,886).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3766

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 12 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klumb et al. (US 5,935,124, hereinafter Klumb) and King (US 4,282,886). Klumb discloses a medical lead 14 extending through the central lumen of catheter body 12 (Col. 5, lines 1-10). Klumb further discloses a tip electrode 36 that includes an infusion tube 88 disposed with the tip electrode which is used to infuse fluids in proximity of the distal end of the tip electrode (see Col. 8, lines 1-6 and figure 9). Klumb does not specifically disclose, however, that the fluid infused through the tip electrode should be a tissue adhesive. Attention is directed to the secondary reference of King, which

discloses an epicardial lead utilizing a tissue adhesive 16 to securely affix the electrode for optimal treatment and to avoid unwanted movement of the electrode (Col. 2, lines 50-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Klumb to infuse tissue adhesive near the electrode tip in order to securely affix the electrode in its proper position in the body, as taught by King.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-28, and 30-35 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D. Bertram whose telephone number is 571-272-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 9-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric D. Bertram Examiner Art Unit 3766 Robert E Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3766

EDB